

CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No.:007149  
RASMUSSEN LAW P.C.  
520 S. Fourth Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: (702) 384-5563  
Fax: (702) 550-7031  
Attorney for Defendant

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
FREDDIE LAMONT PRENTISS,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 2:18-cr-00243-JCM-BNW

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO**  
**CONTINUE ARRAIGNMENT**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Joshua Brister, Assistant United States Attorney, and Chris T. Rasmussen, Esq., counsel for Freddie Prentiss, that the arraignment currently scheduled for March 25, 2022 at 11:00 a.m., be vacated and set to a date and time convenient to this Court but no sooner than three weeks.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The parties agree to a continuance;
2. Defendant is currently in custody;
3. Counsel for the Defendant has spoken to the Defendant and the Defendant has no objection to this continuance;
4. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice;
5. For all the above- stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the arraignment date by three weeks.

1           7. This is the fourth request for continuance.

2           DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of March, 2022.

3  
4       /s/ Chris T. Rasmussen

      /s/ Joshua Brister

5       \_\_\_\_\_  
6       CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.  
      Attorney for Defendant

      \_\_\_\_\_  
      JOSHUA BRISTER  
      Assistant United States Attorney

CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 007149  
RASMUSSEN LAW P.C.  
520 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
T: (702) 384-5563  
ctr@rasmussenlaw.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FREDDIE LAMONT PRENTISS,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:18-cr-00243-JCM-BNW

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND**  
**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based on the stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing, the Court finds that:

1. The parties agree to a continuance;
2. Defendant is currently in custody;
3. Counsel for the Defendant has spoken to the Defendant and the Defendant has no objection to this continuance.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. Denial of this request would result in a miscarriage of justice;
2. For all the above-stated reason, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the arraignment date for three weeks.

